

DANIEL MALAKAUSKAS, *Cal. Bar. No.*: 265903  
MALAKAUSKAS LAW, APC  
7345 South Durango Drive  
Suite B-107-240  
Las Vegas, NV 89113  
Tel: 866-790-2242 / Fax: 888-802-2440  
[daniel@malakauskas.com](mailto:daniel@malakauskas.com)

*Attorney for Plaintiff: Meryl Pomponio*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION**

## MERYL POMPONIO,

Case No.:

**Plaintiff,**

V.

**SAFEWAY INC.**, as an entity and doing business as "Safeway Store #1119", **WENDY M. LAMBETH, MARGARET DEAN MCPHEE REICH, EUNICE LETITIA DEAN MILLER, RONALD CURTIS ASHLA**, as an individual and trustee of the Ronald C. and Susan M. Ashla Living Trust dated March 8, 2016, **SUSAN MILLER ASHLA**, as an individual and trustee of the Ronald C. and Susan M. Ashla Living Trust dated March 8, 2016, and **DOES 1-50, Inclusive.**

**COMPLAINT BY MERYL POMPONIO  
AGAINST SAFEWAY INC., *et al.*, FOR  
DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  
RESULTING FROM VIOLATIONS OF 1)  
TITLE III OF THE AMERICANS WITH  
DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990; 2) THE UNRUH  
CIVIL RIGHTS ACT; and 3) THE  
CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT.**

[42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12213; Cal. Civ. Code §§ 51, 52, 54, 54.1, 54.2 and 54.3.]

## Defendants.

Comes now the Plaintiff, MERYL POMPONIO, (hereafter, "Mrs. Pomponio" or "Plaintiff") through her Attorney, DANIEL MALAKAUSKAS, 7345 South Durango Drive, Suite B-107-240, Las Vegas, NV 89113; Telephone: (866) 790-2242; Facsimile: (888) 802-2440; who, having been denied her civil rights, hereby respectfully alleges, avers, and complains as follows:

1                   **THIS COURT CAN GRANT JUSTICE TO A DISABLED INDIVIDUAL**

2         1.     Mrs. Pomponio was disabled by her extreme medical condition: 1) Paraneoplastic  
3                   Syndrome. Due to Mrs. Pomponio's medical condition, Mrs. Pomponio is unable to walk and is  
4                   confined to using a mobility device to complete her day to day activities.  
5

6         2.     On three separate occasions in 2019, Mrs. Pomponio was denied the full and equal access  
7                   to a public accommodation located at 3747 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94610.

8         3.     Mrs. Pomponio now asks that this Court stand up for her rights under the Americans  
9                   with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), the Unruh Civil Rights Act ("UCRA") and the California Disabled  
10                  Persons Act ("CDPA").  
11

12                   **THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,  
13                   OAKLAND DIVISION, HAS JURISDICTION AND IS THE PROPER VENUE FOR  
14                  PLAINTIFF TO SEEK JUSTICE**

15         4.     The United States District Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this action  
16                  pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1333(a)(3) and (a)(4), for violations of the Americans with  
17                  Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101, *et seq.* This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over all state  
18                  claims, including, but not limited to, claims under the Unruh Civil Rights Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 51, *et*  
19                  *seq.*, and/or the California Disabled Persons Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 54-55.3, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §  
20                  1333 as such acts not only expressly incorporate the Americans with Disabilities Act, but such state  
21                  law claims also arose from the same nucleus of operative facts or transactions.  
22

23         5.     Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1333(b)(2) as the claims alleged herein  
24                  arose in the Northern District, specifically at the real property located at 3747 Grand Avenue, Oakland,  
25                  CA 94610.

26         6.     The Oakland Division of the Northern District of California, is the proper division  
27                  because all claims herein arose at the real property located at 3747 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94610.  
28

## **THE VICTIM AND THOSE RESPONSIBLE**

7. Mrs. Pomponio was disabled by her extreme medical condition: 1) Paraneoplastic Syndrome. Due to Mrs. Pomponio's medical condition, Mrs. Pomponio is unable to walk and is confined to using a mobility device to complete her day to day activities. Mrs. Pomponio is therefore a "person with a disability" and a "physically disabled person" and has a "disability" or "medical condition" pursuant to the rules and regulations of the ADA, specifically 42 U.S.C § 12102 and Cal. Civ. Code §§ 51 and 54.

8. Defendants, SAFEWAY INC., and Does 1-50 (hereafter, collectively or individually, “Tenant”), operate as a business establishment, hold themselves out to the public, and do business as “Safeway Store #1119” at 3747 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94610, and have substantial control over the interior and exterior of the building, the parking lot, and all spaces adjacent to such building.

9. Defendants, WENDY M. LAMBETH, MARGARET DEAN MCPHEE REICH, EUNICE LETITIA DEAN MILLER, RONALD CURTIS ASHLA, SUSAN MILLER ASHLA, and Does 1-50 (hereafter, collectively or individually, "Landlord", in their commercial real estate investment, owner, or landlord capacity), own, operate, manage, and have substantial control over the real property, including the interior and exterior of the building, parking lot and all spaces adjacent to the buildings located at 3747 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94610.

10. Defendants, Does 26-50, are individuals, businesses, organizations, or entities which entered into a contract with Defendants, Tenant, Landlord, and/or Does 1-50, as property managers or franchisees for the real property and adjacent parking lot, and as such have substantial control over the real property located at 3747 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94610.

11. The true names and capacities of the Defendants named herein as Does 1-50, inclusive, whether individual, corporate, partnership, association, or otherwise, are unknown to Plaintiff who

therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff requests leave of court to amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities at such times as they are ascertained.

12. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants, including Does 1-50, caused and are responsible for the below described unlawful conduct and resulting injuries by, among other things, personally participating in the unlawful conduct or acting jointly or conspiring with others who did so; by authorizing, acquiescing in or setting in motion policies, plans or actions that led to the unlawful conduct; by failing to take action to prevent the unlawful conduct; by failing and refusing with deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's rights to equal access to public spaces; and by ratifying the unlawful conduct that occurred by agents, and officers or entities under their direction and control.

## MRS. POMPONIO WAS DENIED EQUAL ACCESS TO A PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION AND NOW FIGHTS FOR ALL DISABLED

13. Mrs. Pomponio was disabled by her extreme medical condition: 1) Paraneoplastic Syndrome. Due to Mrs. Pomponio's medical condition, Mrs. Pomponio is unable to walk and is confined to using a mobility device to complete her day to day. Mrs. Pomponio is therefore a "person with a disability" and a "disabled person" and has a "disability" or "medical condition" pursuant to federal law, rules and regulations, specifically 42 U.S.C § 12102, and 28 C.F.R. § 36.104.

14. On three separate occasions in 2019, Mrs. Pomponio desired to go to and use the services, and/or buy products at "Safeway Store #1119" which is located at 3747 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94610.

15. While in the parking lot adjacent to, surrounding, or while inside the business "Safeway Store #1119", Mrs. Pomponio personally encountered barriers that interfered with her ability to use and enjoy the goods, services, privileges and accommodations offered by the facilities. Specifically,

while visiting the business establishment, Mrs. Pomponio had difficulty as the alleged accessible parking stalls and access aisles had improper slopes which made it more difficult for her to use her wheeled mobility device. In addition, the restroom door was heavy and difficult to open while seated in her wheeled mobility device. Finally, the soap dispenser inside the restroom was too high making it difficult to reach from Mrs. Pomponio's seated position in her wheeled mobility device.

16. Despite Mrs. Pomponio's wish to patronize the businesses in the future, the above-mentioned barriers constitute deterrents to access to the business, rendering the business' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations unavailable to physically disabled patrons such as herself.

17. Mrs. Pomponio alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants knew that such barriers existed and that Defendants' failure to remove the barriers was intentional as the particular barriers mentioned above were intuitive and obvious. Additionally, Defendants exercised control and dominion over the condition of the real property and building and had the financial resources to remove such barriers. Furthermore, Mrs. Pomponio alleges, on information and belief, that such modifications were readily achievable as removal of the above barriers could have been achieved without much difficulty or expense.

18. Mrs. Pomponio brings this lawsuit to encourage Defendants to ensure their property is accessible to all.

**FIRST CLAIM  
VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE ADA  
(As to all Defendants)**

19. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation contained in all prior and subsequent paragraphs.

1       20. The parking lot and building at the real property known as 3747 Grand Avenue, Oakland,  
2 CA 94610 is owned, controlled, operated, leased, and managed by Defendants: Tenant, Landlord, Does  
3 1-50, or their agents. The business “Safeway Store #1119”, including their parking lot, are open to the  
4 general public and as such is a “public accommodation” under 42 U.S.C. § 12181 and 28 C.F.R. §  
5 36.104.

6       21. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a), by owning, leasing, or operating the public  
7 accommodation known as “Safeway Store #1119”, Defendants are prohibited from discriminating  
8 against Plaintiff by denying her, on the basis of her disability, the full and equal enjoyment of the goods,  
9 services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations offered by the facilities.  
10

11       22. In order to avoid discriminating against a disabled individual pursuant to 28 C.F.R. §  
12 36.101 and § 36.102, Defendants must ensure that such public accommodation is designed, constructed,  
13 and altered in compliance with the accessibility standards established by 28 C.F.R. § 36.101 *et seq.*,  
14 and have proper policies, practices, and procedures to ensure that individuals with disabilities are  
15 afforded equal access to the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges,  
16 advantages, or accommodations offered by the public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181(9),  
17 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and (v), 12183(a)(1) and (2).  
18

19       23. Mrs. Pomponio was disabled by her extreme medical condition: 1) Paraneoplastic  
20 Syndrome. Due to Mrs. Pomponio’s medical condition, Mrs. Pomponio is unable to walk and is  
21 confined to using a mobility device to complete her day to day. Mrs. Pomponio is therefore a “person  
22 with a disability” and a “disabled person” and has a “disability” or “medical condition” pursuant to  
23 federal law, rules and regulations, specifically 42 U.S.C § 12102, and 28 C.F.R. § 36.104. While at  
24 the interior, exterior, parking lot, or adjacent spaces, of the business known as “Safeway Store #1119”,  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Plaintiff personally encountered a number of barriers that interfered with her ability, to use and enjoy  
2 the goods, services, privileges and accommodations offered at the facility.

3       24. Specifically, Defendants failed to ensure that such real property was equally accessible  
4 to individuals with disabilities and medical conditions by having the following barriers at the real  
5 property:

- 6           a. There is no unauthorized vehicle parking signage at each driveway entrance to the off-  
7 street parking, or immediately adjacent to on-site accessible parking and visible from  
8 each parking space, in violation of 2013 CBC 11B-502.8 and 2016 CBC 11B-502.8;
- 9           b. The alleged accessible parking space(s) and access aisle(s)' slope(s) exceed two  
10 percent (2%) in violation of 1991 ADAAG 4.6.3, 2010 ADAS 502.4 Exception, 2013  
11 CBC 11B-502.4 Exception and 2016 CBC 11B-502.4 Exception;
- 12           c. The required accessible parking space identification signage is not provided at one or  
13 more of the alleged accessible parking stalls in violation of 1991 ADAAG 4.6.4, 2010  
14 ADAS 502.6, 2013 CBC 11B-502.6 and 2016 CBC 11B-502.6;
- 15           d. One or more of the alleged accessible parking spaces and access aisles have openings  
16 in the ground surface that are greater one-half inch ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ") in violation of 1991 ADAAG  
17 4.5.4, 2010 ADAS 302.3, 2013 CBC 11B-302.3 and 2016 CBC 11B-302.3;
- 18           e. The ground in one or more of the alleged accessible parking spaces and access aisles  
19 have changes in level in violation of 1991 ADAAG 4.6.3, 2010 ADAS 502.4, 2013  
20 CBC 11B-502.4, 2016 CBC 11B-502.4;
- 21           f. The door leading to the restrooms require more than five pounds (5 lbs.) of force to  
22 open in violation of 2013 CBC 11B-404.2.9 and 2016 CBC 11B-404.2.9;

- 1 g. The toilet paper dispenser is not located between seven inches to nine inches (7" – 9")  
2 in front of the water closet in violation of 1991 ADAAG 4.16.6, 2010 ADAS 604.7,  
3 2013 CBC 11B-604.7 and 2016 CBC 11B-604.7;
- 4 h. The water supply and drainpipes under the lavatory in the restroom are not insulated  
5 or otherwise configured to prevent contact in violation of 1991 ADAAG 4.19.4, 2010  
6 ADAS 606.5, 2013 CBC 11B-606.5 and 2016 CBC 11B-606.5;
- 7 i. The soap dispenser is located more than forty inches (40") above the finished floor  
8 surface in violation of 1991 ADAAG 4.19.6, 2010 ADAS 603.3, 2013 CBC 11B-603.3  
9 and 2016 CBC 11B-603.3;
- 10 j. The elevator hall call buttons do not have clear floor space in violation of CBC 11B-  
11 407.2.1.2 and ADA Section 407.2.1.2;
- 12 k. The restroom does not have permanent room signage in violation of CBC 11B-216.2  
13 and ADA Section 216.2;
- 14 l. The restroom door closes within two (2) seconds in violation of CBC 11B-404.2.8.1  
15 and ADA Section 404.2.8.1.

19 25. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff, on the basis of her  
20 disabilities, was denied the opportunity to participate in or benefit from a good, service, privilege,  
21 individuals in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181.

23 26. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to prohibit Defendants' acts and omissions as complained  
24 of herein which have the effect of wrongfully discriminating against Plaintiff and other members of the  
25 public who are physically disabled from full and equal access to these public facilities. Specifically,  
26 Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief ensuring that Defendants modify their real property to ensure that  
27

1 disabled persons are not discriminated against in receiving equal access to goods, services, and facilities  
2 as other more able-bodied persons.  
3

4

**SECOND CLAIM**  
**VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 51**  
**(As to all Defendants)**

5

6 27. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges, as if fully set forth herein, each and every  
7 allegation contained in all prior and subsequent paragraphs.  
8

9 28. Any violation of the ADA 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12213 also constitutes a violation of Cal.  
10 Civ. Code § 51(f) and § 52(a), thus independently justifying an award of damages and injunctive relief  
11 pursuant to California law.  
12

13 29. On the basis of her disabilities, Plaintiff was denied the opportunity to participate in or  
14 benefit from a good, service, privilege, advantage or accommodation in a manner equal to that afforded  
15 to other non-disabled individuals which resulted in Plaintiff's difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment.  
16 Therefore, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 55.56(a) through (c), Plaintiff is entitled to attorneys' fees,  
17 costs, and damages of no less than four-thousand U.S. dollars (4,000 USD) for each and every violation.  
18

19

**THIRD CLAIM**  
**VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 54**  
**(As to all Defendants)**

20

21 30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges, as if fully set forth herein, each and every  
22 allegation contained in all prior and subsequent paragraphs.  
23

24 31. Any violation of the ADA 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12213 also constitutes a violation of Cal.  
25 Civ. Code § 54.1(d) and § 54.3(a), thus independently justifying an award of damages and injunctive  
26 relief pursuant to California law.  
27

32. On the basis of her disabilities, Plaintiff was denied the opportunity to participate in or benefit from a good, service, privilege, advantage or accommodation in a manner equal to that afforded to other non-disabled individuals, which resulted in Plaintiff's difficulty, discomfort or embarrassment. Therefore, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 55.56(a) through (c), Plaintiff is entitled to attorneys' fees, costs, and damages on no less than one-thousand U.S. dollars (1,000 USD) for each and every violation.

## PRAYER

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays the following:

1. For injunctive relief pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 36.501 directing Defendants to modify their facilities and policies as required by law to comply with ADA regulations, including the ADAAG where required; institute policy to enable Plaintiff to use goods and services offered to the non-disabled public; provide adequate access to all citizens, including persons with disabilities; issue a permanent injunction directing Defendants to maintain their facilities usable by Plaintiff and similarly situated person with disabilities in compliance with federal regulations, and which provide full and equal access, as required by law;

2. Retain jurisdiction over Defendants until such time as the Court is satisfied that Defendants' unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions, and maintenance of inaccessible public facilities as complained of herein no longer occur and will not recur:

3. Award Plaintiff all appropriate damages, including, but not limited to, either statutory damages of no less than four-thousand U.S. dollars (4,000 USD) for each and every violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 51, or no less than one-thousand U.S. dollars (1,000 USD) for each and every violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 54, with either Cal. Civ. Code § 51 or § 54 being elected prior to, or at, trial, but not both, and general damages in an amount within the jurisdiction of the Court, according to proof;

4. Award Plaintiff all litigation expenses and costs of this proceeding, and all reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by law, including but not limited to, 42 U.S.C. § 12205, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 52 and 54.3; and

5. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: November 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2020

/s/ Daniel Malakauskas  
By: DANIEL MALAKAUSKAS, of,  
MALAKAUSKAS LAW, APC,  
Attorney for PLAINTIFF